

ISE 307: Engineering Economic Analysis

*Lecture 16: Annual Equivalence II — Unit Costs, Make-or-Buy, Break-Even
(Ch 6)*

Term 253 (Summer)

Instructor: Mansur M. Arief
Industrial and Systems Engineering, KFUPM

Office: 22-219 — Email: mansur.arief@kfupm.edu.sa

Lecture 16

Unit Costs, Make-or-Buy Decisions,
Break-Even, and Unequal Lives

Lecture 16 Objectives

1. Compute **unit cost / unit profit**: $AE \div$ annual volume
2. Determine a **minimum sustainable price**
3. Settle a **make-or-buy** decision on a per-unit basis
4. Find the **break-even volume**: the usage where two AECs tie
5. Compare **unequal-life** alternatives by one-cycle AE (no LCM needed)

Minimum Price Example

A line bags **40,000 kg/yr** of flotation reagent (MARR = 15%):

- invest **300,000 SAR**; salvage **30,000 SAR** after 8 years
- operating **90,000 SAR/yr**

1. **Capital:** $CR = (300,000 - 30,000)(A/P, 15\%, 8) + 0.15(30,000)$
 $= 270,000(0.2229) + 4,500 = 64,670$

2. **Total:** $AEC = 64,670 + 90,000 = 154,670 \text{ SAR/yr}$

3. **Per unit:** minimum price $= \frac{154,670}{40,000} = \boxed{3.87 \text{ SAR/kg}}$

Below 3.87 SAR/kg the line *destroys* value at a 15% MARR;
above it, every kg contributes surplus.

Make-or-Buy

A tolling contractor offers to bag the reagent for **4.50 SAR/kg**. The in-house cost (last slide): **3.87 SAR/kg**.

Make, and save $0.63 \text{ SAR/kg} \times 40,000 \text{ kg} = \mathbf{25,200 \text{ SAR/yr}}$.

The requirements of a fair comparison:

- Same **volume** and **quality** on both sides
- The in-house side must carry *all* ownership costs — $CR(i)$, not just operating
- The decision can **flip with volume**: at low kg/yr, the vendor's purely variable price beats fixed capital (next slide)

Break-Even Volume Example

Two sample grinders for the assay lab ($i = 6\%$, 10-yr lives, no salvage); maintenance = 15% of first cost per year; let h = hours/yr:

	First cost	Energy
G1	4,500	0.52 SAR/hr
G2	3,600	0.60 SAR/hr

$$AE_1(h) = 4,500 (A/P, 6\%, 10) + 675 + 0.52h = 1,286.4 + 0.52h$$

$$AE_2(h) = 3,600 (A/P, 6\%, 10) + 540 + 0.60h = 1,029.1 + 0.60h$$

Set equal: $0.08h = 257.3 \Rightarrow h^* \approx 3,216 \text{ hrs/yr}$

Run **more** than h^* $\rightarrow$ the pricier, efficient G1 wins; **less** $\rightarrow$ G2.

Unequal Lives by AE

Lecture 14's tank coatings, $i = 10\%$: **epoxy** 5,000 SAR lasts 10 years; **standard** 3,000 SAR lasts 5 years. With AE, annualize each over *its own* life:

$$AE_{\text{epoxy}} = 5,000 (A/P, 10\%, 10) = 5,000 (0.1627) = \boxed{813.7 \text{ SAR/yr}}$$

$$AE_{\text{std}} = 3,000 (A/P, 10\%, 5) = 3,000 (0.2638) = \boxed{791.4 \text{ SAR/yr}}$$

Standard wins by about 22 SAR/yr — the same verdict as the 10-yr LCM comparison in Lecture 14 (4,863 vs. 5,000 in PW).

Valid when each alternative is **repeated identically** over the service period. A stated study period still overrides (then compare PW over that period).

Practice Problem 1

A lubricant-bottling machine at the mine's supply depot ($\text{MARR} = 12\%$):

- costs **150,000 SAR**; salvage **15,000 SAR** after 6 years
- operating **40,000 SAR/yr**; output **20,000 units/yr**
- each unit sells at **4.50 SAR**

Find the **unit cost** and the **unit profit**.

Practice Problem 1: Solution

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \quad CR &= (150,000 - 15,000)(A/P, 12\%, 6) + 0.12(15,000) \\ &= 135,000(0.2432) + 1,800 = 34,636 \end{aligned}$$

$$2. \quad AEC = 34,636 + 40,000 = 74,636 \text{ SAR/yr} \Rightarrow \text{unit cost} = \frac{74,636}{20,000} =$$

$$\boxed{3.73 \text{ SAR/unit}}$$

$$3. \quad \text{Unit profit} = 4.50 - 3.73 = \boxed{0.77 \text{ SAR/unit}} \approx 15,400 \text{ SAR/yr of surplus at full volume}$$

Practice Problem 2

A water-bottling line will produce **3,000,000 bottles/yr** for **10 years** (MARR = 15%):

- investment **2,000,000 SAR**; salvage **400,000 SAR**
- operating **700,000 SAR/yr**

Determine the **minimum price per bottle** the company should charge.

Practice Problem 2: Solution

1. Capital: $CR = (2,000,000 - 400,000)(A/P, 15\%, 10) + 0.15(400,000)$
 $= 1,600,000(0.1993) + 60,000 = 318,803 + 60,000 = 378,803$

2. Total: $AEC = 378,803 + 700,000 = 1,078,803 \text{ SAR/yr}$

3. Per unit: minimum price $= \frac{1,078,803}{3,000,000} = \boxed{0.36 \text{ SAR/bottle}}$

At 0.36 SAR/bottle the line earns *exactly* 15%; any higher price is surplus.

Quick Check

In a make-or-buy analysis, the most common error that makes “make” look artificially cheap is:

- (A) Using the same volume on both sides
- (B) Omitting the capital recovery cost from the in-house side
- (C) Including the vendor's price per unit
- (D) Discounting at the MARR

Answer: (B). Counting only operating costs hides what ownership costs per year. The in-house side must carry $CR(i)$ in full.

Summary

- **Unit cost** = $AEC \div$ annual volume; **minimum price** = the unit cost at the MARR
- **Unit profit** = price – unit cost; surplus beyond the MARR return
- **Make-or-buy**: per-unit comparison at equal volume/quality; in-house carries full $CR(i)$
- **Break-even**: write $AE(h)$ for each option, equate, solve for usage h^*
- **Unequal lives**: compare one-cycle AEs (identical repetition); a stated study period still overrides

Before Class

To do before our class meeting:

1. **Finish Chapter 6** (Section 6.3)
2. Complete the **Lecture 16 pre-class quiz** on Blackboard before class
3. Reminder: **HW3 (Ch. 5) is due** — check the deadline on Blackboard